

Table 1. Results of the **second** GP data collection (2000-2001)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid data present	1775	72,9	72,9	72,9
GP: reasons with general practitioner (GP)	483	19,8	19,8	92,7
R: reasons with respondent (R)	175	7,2	7,2	99,9
no reason known	3	,1	,1	100,0
Total	2436	100,0	100,0	

Table 2. Specification of the results of the **second** GP data collection (2000-2001)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid data present; filled in by researcher	167	6,9	6,9	6,9
data present; filled in by GP	1608	66,0	66,0	72,9
GP: refusals	387	15,9	15,9	88,8
GP: pensioner, deceased, sick	5	,2	,2	89,0
GP: address, telephone unknown, not reachable	14	,6	,6	89,5
GP: no reason known	20	,8	,8	90,4
GP: gpcode* is for more than one gp	11	,5	,5	90,8
GP: non-respons after filling in some questionnaires	46	1,9	1,9	92,7
R: not in practice GP and not traceable	126	5,2	5,2	97,9
R deceased and no information available**	46	1,9	1,9	99,8
R: moved abroad	3	,1	,1	99,9
no reason known	3	,1	,1	100,0
Total	2436	100,0	100,0	

* GPcode: each GP has his own GPcode

**R may be deceased.

Table 3. Results of the **third** GP data collection (2005-2006)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid data present	1706	66,9	66,9	66,9
reasons with GP	137	5,4	5,4	72,3
reasons with respondent	111	4,4	4,4	76,6
no reason known	596	23,4	23,4	100,0
Total	2550	100,0	100,0	

Note that “no reason known” is the number of respondents with GPs that have never responded to any of the (reminding) letters. Based on data about the status of respondents, 93 of the 596 respondents were deceased at the time the GP data were collected. Although this was not an exclusion criterion, it is possible that GPs decided not to fill in the questionnaires of these respondents.

Table 4. Specification of the results of **third** GP data collection (2005-2006)

specification result

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid data present	1706	66.9	66.9	66.9
GP: refusal GP	90	3.5	3.5	70.4
GP: pensioner, deceased, sick	21	.8	.8	71.3
GP: address, telephone GP unknown, not traceable	22	.9	.9	72.1
GP: no reason known	2	.1	.1	72.2
GP: non-respons after filling in some questionnaires	1	.0	.0	72.2
R: respondent not in practice GP, not traceble	96	3.8	3.8	76.0
R: respondent deceased, no information available	16	.6	.6	76.6
no reason known	596	23.4	23.4	100.0
Total	2550	100.0	100.0	

Table 5 Results of the **fourth** GP data collection (2010)

		fgresult result			
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1.00 data present	1444	70.2	70.2	70.2
	1.00 reasons with GP	122	5.9	5.9	76.2
	2.00 reasons with respondent	65	3.2	3.2	79.3
	3.00 no reason known	425	20.7	20.7	100.0
	Total	2056	100.0	100.0	

Note that “no reason known” is the number of respondents with GPs that have never responded to any of the (reminding) letters. Based on data about the status of respondents, 66 of the 425 respondents were deceased at the time the GP data were collected. Although this was not an exclusion criterion, it is possible that GPs decided not to fill in the questionnaires of these respondents.

Table 6. Specification of the results of the **fourth** GP data collection (2010)

		fgspecif specification result			
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	data present	1444	70.2	70.2	70.2
	GP: refusal GP	43	2.1	2.1	72.3
	GP: pensioner, deceased, sick	3	.1	.1	72.5
	GP: adress, telephone GP unknown, not traceable	7	.3	.3	72.8
	GP: no reason known	52	2.5	2.5	75.3
	GP: non-response after filling in some questionnaires	17	.8	.8	76.2
	R: respondent not in practice GP, not traceable	47	2.3	2.3	78.5
	R: respondent deceased, no information	18	.9	.9	79.3
	no reason known	425	20.7	20.7	100.0
	Total	2056	100.0	100.0	