

DEMENTIA DIAGNOSIS - DOCUMENTATION

Hannie Comijs

Tessa van den Kommer

Feb 2017

In LASA we have data from several cognitive tests, but a clinical dementia diagnosis on the basis of formal criteria is missing. Using the available MMSE's (Mini-Mental State Examination, a screening test for dementia, Folstein et al 1975), a telephone a shortened MMSE from people who would no longer participate (from D measurement), or an IQ code (Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly; Jorm et al, 1988) by the respondent (C measurement) or proxy (from the C measurement), we created a composite variable of people who are very likely have diagnosed dementia. Additionally, GPs data (dementia diagnosis by a general practitioner or specialist), relevant information listed on the respondent contact forms (RCF's), data recording used in psycho-geriatric nursing and information about cause of death (ICD coding different causes of dementia - to 2008 available) were used. The variable we created reflect a possible dementia, and when using it you have to interpret the findings with caution, because we are not completely sure that those persons are really dement.

Table 1 Summary of the numbers of people we have data

B 1992/93	C 1995/96	D 1998/99	E 2001/02	2B* 2002/03	F 2005/06	G 2008/09	H 2011/12
N=3.107	N=2.545	N=2.076	N=1.691	N=1002	N=2.165	N=1.818	N=1.522
MMSE: n=3.091	MMSE: n=2.292	MMSE: n=1.871	MMSE: n=1.469	MMSE: n=997	MMSE: =1.904	MMSE: n=1.600	MMSE: N=1.303
	-	short MMSE ¹ : n=124	short MMSE ¹ : n=121		short MMSE ¹ : n=136	short MMSE ¹ : n=112	short MMSE ¹ : n=97
	IQ-code resp ² : n=163	-	-		-	-	
	IQ-code proxy ³ : n=76	IQ-code proxy ³ : n=73	IQ-code proxy ³ : n=90		IQ-code proxy ³ : n=116	IQ-code proxy ³ : n=95	IO-code proxy ³ : n=171

*2B is new cohort of 55-65 year olds. These people were added on the sample after this baseline measurement - thus being part from F, G and H measurements

¹ Shortened MMSE (phone interview) = item 01: year; item 04: day of the week; item 05: month; item 08: two streets in the neighborhood; item 10: address; item 11: repeat three words; item 12: 100-7 subtraction or item 13: backward spelling; item 14: remember three words. The total score is based on nine items - the best score of item 12 or 13 is counted. Maximum score = 16.

² IQ code by telephone for respondents who did not want to participate in the interview: 6 questions about decline in the past 10 years, namely: remember conversations; remembering his / her address and telephone number; dealing with major household appliances; make decisions; handling money for groceries; arrange finances. Scoring a 5-point scale: 1 = much better, 3 = unchanged, 5 = much worse. Scores ranging from 1- 30.

³ IQ code is conducted by telephone with the proxy from the respondents who did not want to participate in the interview.

The diagnosis of 'possible dementia' is determined by:

1. Difference scores on the MMSE of respondents between two measurement cycles (> 2 SD above average decline in the whole group)

2. The difference scores on short (by telephone) MMSE of dropouts (> 2 SD above average decline from the whole sample).

3. IQ code > 28 points to cognitive decline reflecting a decline in at least four areas.

4. Subsequently, those variables were longitudinally cleaned based on subsequent measurement cycles:

– if MMSE score from a next cycle was available: further deterioration of more than 1 SD: $x_{dement} = 1$.

– respondents with no follow-up measurements: $x_{dement} = 3$.

– respondents who did not deteriorate more than 1 SD in the subsequent cycle, remained stable or progressed, but had a $MMSE \leq 18$: $x_{dement} = 4$.

– respondents with conflicting or inconsistent information, or when too little information is available: $x_{dement} = 5$.

5. RCF's were checked from people with missing values after deterioration (code 3, 4 and 5).

– when RCF pointed to possible cognitive impairment or dementia, x_{dement} is recoded to 1.

6. Data from general practitioner

– when dementia diagnoses is reported by a doctor or specialist x_{dement} is recoded to 1.

7. Data on homing (014, 601 files)

- If housing type was as psycho-geriatric nursing home (≤ 3 years after the last interview) x_{dement} is recoded to 1.

8. Cause of death (z991)

- If cause of death was dementia (≤ 3 years after the last interview) x_{dement} is recoded to 1.

The variables are called: $cdement$, $ddement$, $edement$, $fdement$, $gdement$ and $hdement$ and can be obtained from Jan Poppelaars. Also the syntaxes can be obtained from Jan Poppelaars.

1995 / 96 (Cycle C)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no dementia	2449	59,6	96,8	96,8
	dementia at C	42	1,0	1,7	98,5
	undetermined: no data at subsequent wave(s)	29	,7	1,1	99,6
	undetermined: no persistent decline/increase but MMSE <= 18	2	,0	,1	99,7
	undetermined, insufficient/contradictory data	8	,2	,3	100,0
	Total	2530	61,6	100,0	
Missing	System	1579	38,4		
Total		4109	100,0		

1998 / 99 (Cycle D)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no dementia	1941	47,2	94,1	94,1
	dementia at D	53	1,3	2,6	96,7
	dementia at previous wave	20	,5	1,0	97,6
	undetermined: no data at subsequent wave(s)	42	1,0	2,0	99,7
	undetermined: no persistent decline/increase but MMSE <= 18	2	,0	,1	99,8
	undetermined: inconsistent/contradictory data	5	,1	,2	100,0
	Total	2063	50,2	100,0	
Missing	System	2046	49,8		
Total		4109	100,0		

2001/02 (Cycle E)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no dementia	1553	37,8	92,5	92,5
	dementia at E	44	1,1	2,6	95,1
	dementia at previous wave	36	,9	2,1	97,3
	undetermined: no data at subsequent wave(s)	39	,9	2,3	99,6
	undetermined: no persistent decline/increase but MMSE <= 18	4	,1	,2	99,8
	undetermined: inconsistent/contradictory data	3	,1	,2	100,0
	Total	1679	40,9	100,0	
	Missing System	2430	59,1		
Total	4109	100,0			

2005/06 (Cycle F)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no dementia	1982	48,2	94,6	94,6
	dementia at F	57	1,4	2,7	97,3
	dementia at previous wave	23	,6	1,1	98,4
	undetermined: no data at subsequent wave(s)	26	,6	1,2	99,7
	undetermined: no persistent decline/increase but MMSE <= 18	5	,1	,2	99,9
	undetermined: inconsistent/contradictory data	2	,0	,1	100,0
	Total	2095	51,0	100,0	
	Missing System	2014	49,0		
Total	4109	100,0			

2008/09 (Cycle G)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no dementia	1520	37,0	93,3	93,3
	dementia at G	32	,8	2,0	95,2
	dementia at previous wave	48	1,2	2,9	98,2
	undetermined: no data at subsequent wave(s)	26	,6	1,6	99,8
	undetermined: no persistent decline/increase but MMSE <= 18	2	,0	,1	99,9
	undetermined: inconsistent/contradictory data	2	,0	,1	100,0
	Total	1630	39,7	100,0	
Missing	System	2479	60,3		
Total		4109	100,0		

2011/2012 (cycle H)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no dementia	1237	30,1	90,0	90,0
	dementia at H	41	1,0	3,0	93,0
	dementia at previous wave	68	1,7	4,9	98,0
	undetermined: no data at subsequent wave(s)	26	,6	1,9	99,9
	undetermined: no persistent decline/increase but MMSE <= 18	1	,0	,1	99,9
	undetermined: inconsistent/contradictory data	1	,0	,1	100,0
	Total	1374	33,4	100,0	
Missing	System	2735	66,6		
Total		4109	100,0		

For questions about these variables, please contact: Hannie Comijs: h.comijs@ggzingeest.nl or Tessa van den Kommer: t.vandekommer@ggzingeest.nl.

The variables are used and described in:

- Van den Kommer TN, Deeg DJH, Van der Flier WN, Comijs HC. Time trend in persistent cognitive decline: results from the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. In prep.
- Alders P, Comijs HC, Deeg DJH. Changes in admission to long-term care institutions in the Netherlands: comparing the results of two cohorts over the period 1996-1999 and 2006-2009. *European Journal of Ageing*, in press.
- van den Kommer TN, Comijs HC, Dik MG, Jonker C, & Deeg DJH. Development of classification models for early identification of persons at risk for persistent cognitive decline. *Journal of Neurology*, 2008, 255(10): 1486-94.

References

- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. Mini-mental state: a practical method for the clinician. *J Psychiat Res*, 1975;12:189-198.
- Jorm AF, Korten AE. Assessment of cognitive decline in the elderly by informant interview. *Br J Psychiatr*, 1988;152:209-13.